TRAVANCORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

SECOND COUNCIL.

FIRST SESSION OF 1102.

Thursday, the 25th November 1926/10th Vrischikam 1102.

(OFFICIAL REPORT.)

The Council re-assembled in the Victoria Jubilee Town H eleven thirty-five of the clock, M. R. Ry. V. Subba Aiyar Avergal, B. A., B. L,, Deputy President, presiding. All except the following members were present: Mr. X. G. Lopez and Mr. N. V. Joseph.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Registry of Lands to Members of Depressed Classes in Vaikam Taluk.

91. **MR. A. J. JOHN** (*Vaikam*): Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a statement containing information on the following points:—

(*a*) the number of applications from depressed classes of the Vaikam taluk for registry of lands ear-marked for the purpose;

(*b*) the number of applications allowed;

(*c*) the number of applications rejected with the grounds for such rejection; and

(*d*) the number of applications now pending?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): (*a*) to (*d*). Two statements* containing the information required by the member, for the years 1097 to 1101, are laid on the table. The statements include also particulars of applications for lands other than those ear-marked for depressed classes. Statistics in regard to applications for lands from the ear-marked area alone are not readily available.

MR. A. J. JOHN (*Vaikam*): May I know whether any land ear-marked for the depressed classes was registered to other people?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): Information will have to be called for.

MR. A. J. JOHN (*Vaikam*): May I know whether any separate register is kept showing the lands ear-marked for the depressed classes?

MR. T. P. RAMASUBBA AIYAR (*Secretary to Government*): No. The entries in the Petition Register in respect of applications from depressed classes are denoted by the addition of the letter 'D'. The information can thus be easily gathered. But no separate register is kept.

---

* *Vide* Appendices IA and IB—pages 339 and 340.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(ii) On inspecting the place, Dewan Mr. Raghaviah found that the assignment of the area, leaving 5 cents for a way and a ruined shrine was unobjectionable, but he did not then decide how the remaining area should be disposed of.

(iii) Yes.
(iv) Yes.
(c) (i) Yes.

(ii) A representation was received from the Rt. Rev. Fr. Bernardine complaining against the proposed registry, and pending disposal of this representation, the order referred to in part (c) (i) was issued.

(iii) The Dewan inspected the area and decided that, in the interests of the public, the area required for a sufficiently wide road between the ruined shrine on the land and Mr. Kunjuraman Vaidyan's *vaidyasala* side of the land and also for enclosing the ruined shrine, should be reserved and that the remaining area available should be disposed of in public auction in two plots giving each plot a road frontage.

Appendix I A.

***Vide* Answer to Question No. 91.**

Statement showing the disposal of applications from depressed classes for registry of lands in the Vaikam taluk for the years 1097 to 1101.

Number of applications.	1097	1098	1099	1100	1101
Balance pending at the beginning of the year ...	27	330	66	7	13
Received during the year ...	740	82	187	113	95
Total No. of applications for disposal ...	767	412	253	120	108
No. of applications in which registry was allowed ...	26	71	80	51	36
No. of applications rejected ...	411	275	166	56	60
Total No. of applications disposed of ...	437	346	246	107	96
Balance pending at the end of the year ...	330	66	7	13	12

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Appendix I B.

Vide **Answer to Question No. 91.**

Statement explaining the grounds for rejecting the applications for registry of lands from the depressed classes in the Vaikam taluk for the years 1097 to 1101.

Year.	Total number of applications rejected.	Grounds for rejection.							
		The lands applied for were included among grazing blocks.	The lands applied for have already been assigned.	The lands applied for were in the occupation of others.	The lands applied for formed part of *poramboke* such as rivers, canals, etc.	No land was available as the one stated by the party.	The parties withdrew their applications.	The lands applied for were situated in other taluks.	The lands applied for were outside the earmarked area.	The applicant was not entitled to the concession.
1097	411	66	87	32	58	74	56	...	38	...
1098	275	...	68	45	111	41	2	3	5	...
1099	166	...	16	62	42	18	22	...	...	6
1100	56	4	27	7	13	2	1	...	...	...
1101	60	...	1	16	24	...	19	...	...	...